



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Analysis of prenatal abuse in Catalonia between the years 2011 and 2014<sup>☆</sup>



Jordi Garcia Garcia<sup>a,b</sup>, Elena Campistol Mas<sup>b</sup>, María Ángeles López-Vilchez<sup>a,b,\*</sup>,  
María José Morcillo Buscato<sup>c</sup>, Antonio Mur Sierra<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital del Mar, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Direcció General d'Atenció a la Infància i l'Adolescència (DGAIA), Barcelona, Spain

Received 31 January 2017; accepted 24 April 2017

Available online 2 February 2018

### KEYWORDS

Prenatal abuse;  
Foetal abuse;  
Illicit drugs and pregnancy;  
Social problems;  
Maternal behaviour;  
Risk indicators

### Abstract

**Introduction:** Foetal abuse is that intentional or negligent act that causes a harmful effect to the foetus. It is a type of abuse difficult to diagnose and handle. Some indicators of suspicion are the absence of gestational control, the maternal consumption of toxic substances, or the problematic maternal social environment.

**Objective:** To analyse the cases of foetal abuse registered in Catalonia between 2011 and 2014 to identify the risk profile.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted on a sample of 222 cases of prenatal abuse registered in Catalonia between 2011 and 2014.

**Results:** The mean maternal age was 28.11 years, with 63% of Spanish nationality, 76% were unemployed, 60% had not followed correct gestational control, 76% had previous pregnancy interruptions, 20% reported to have been mistreated by the partner, had history of social problems (76% social intervention, 30.5% previous child retention, 13% custody of the mother by the administration, 7% deprivation of liberty), with high rates of mother-to-child transmission of infection (HIV 4.95%, HCV 9%, HIV + HCV co-infection 1.8%), and 73% reported toxic use (in order of frequency, cannabis, cocaine and heroin). In newborns, the rate of prematurity (26.3%) is highlighted, as well as the diagnosis of withdrawal syndrome in 34 cases. Just over half (51.6%) of the infants are currently with their mother.

<sup>☆</sup> Please cite this article as: Garcia Garcia J, Campistol Mas C, López-Vilchez MÁ, Morcillo Buscato MJ, Mur Sierra A. Análisis del maltrato prenatal en Cataluña entre los años 2011 y 2014. An Pediatr (Barc). 2018;88:150–159.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [93488@parcdesalutmar.cat](mailto:93488@parcdesalutmar.cat) (M.Á. López-Vilchez).

**PALABRAS CLAVE**

Maltrato prenatal;  
Maltrato fetal;  
Drogas y embarazo;  
Problemática social;  
Comportamiento materno;  
Indicadores de riesgo

**Conclusiones:** In our reference population with a diagnosis of prenatal abuse, there are high rates of maternal toxic consumption, HIV-HCV infection, unemployment, history of previous social intervention, and poor gestational control.

© 2017 Asociación Española de Pediatría. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

**Análisis del maltrato prenatal en Cataluña entre los años 2011 y 2014****Resumen**

**Introducción:** El maltrato prenatal es aquel acto intencionado o negligente que causa un efecto nocivo al feto. Es un tipo de maltrato difícil de diagnosticar y manejar. Algunos indicadores de sospecha son la ausencia de control gestacional, el consumo materno de tóxicos o la problemática social del entorno materno.

**Objetivo:** Analizar los casos de maltrato prenatal registrados en Cataluña entre 2011 y 2014 para identificar el perfil de riesgo.

**Métodos:** Estudio descriptivo de corte transversal de una muestra de 222 casos de maltrato prenatal registrados en Cataluña entre 2011 y 2014.

**Resultados:** La edad media materna fue de 28,11 años. El 63% de los casos eran de nacionalidad española, un 76% estaba sin trabajo, el 60% no había seguido un correcto control gestacional, un 76% tenía interrupciones de embarazo previas, un 20% manifestaba haber sido maltratada por la pareja. Fueron frecuente los antecedentes de problemática social (76% intervención social; 30,5% retenciones de hijos previos; 13% tutela de la madre por la administración; 7% privación de libertad), con tasas elevadas de infección por enfermedades de transmisión vertical (VIH 4,95%, VHC 9%, coinfección VIH + VHC 1,8%), el 73% manifestó consumo de tóxicos (por orden de frecuencia cannabis, cocaína y heroína). En los neonatos, destacó el alto índice de prematuridad (26,3%) y el diagnóstico de síndrome de abstinencia en 34 casos. En el 51,6% el hijo está en la actualidad con su madre.

**Conclusiones:** En nuestra población de referencia con diagnóstico de maltrato prenatal destacan unos índices elevados de consumo materno de tóxicos, infección por VIH-VHC, desempleo, antecedentes de intervención social previa y mal control gestacional.

© 2017 Asociación Española de Pediatría. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

**Introduction**

Prenatal abuse is defined as the mother failing to take adequate care of her body, whether knowingly or not, consuming illicit substances or psychotropic drugs, or being physically abused by another party.<sup>1,2</sup> This concept is still not well known, although it has been regulated by Catalan law since 2010 with the introduction of Law 14/2010 of May 27,<sup>3</sup> which recognises the rights of the unborn child and places an unprecedented value on the foetus.

Some cases may be difficult to detect and risk indicators may be useful, such as the lack of prenatal care, the use of illegal substances, alcohol or psychotropic drugs without a prescription, unwanted pregnancy or indifference towards the pregnancy, a history of previous children in foster care, the presence of psychiatric disorders or untreated illnesses that may lead to congenital anomalies or infection in the foetus, physical abuse of the mother, maternal involvement in criminal activity or prostitution, or maternal neglect of her own body in terms of health, nutrition and hygiene. After birth, clinical manifestations of neonatal abstinence

syndrome (NAS) should be considered a red flag suggestive of potential prenatal abuse.<sup>2,4</sup>

The consequences of prenatal abuse may be dire and alter the subsequent growth and development of the child. The potential deleterious effects on the foetus of drug exposure during gestation are well known, and include changes in neural proliferation, differentiation and migration, preterm birth, low birth weight, length and head circumference and NAS (with an increased incidence in newborns exposed to opiates). Potential postnatal consequences include psychomotor retardation and behavioural disorders. Furthermore, a history of prenatal abuse is a risk factor for future child abuse.<sup>5,6</sup>

Pregnancy provides an opportunity to detect situations where there is a higher risk of prenatal abuse due to the increased contact with health care providers (midwives, obstetricians, nurses). Social workers, psychiatrists and professionals employed in substance use treatment and recovery services should assess any situation indicative of risk in pregnant women. The early detection of risk factors makes it possible to inform the mother of the potential adverse effects on the foetus, redirect the situation, or acti-

vate the established protocol for the protection of the foetus or newborn.

The aim of the study was to analyse the cases of prenatal abuse documented in Catalonia between 2011 and 2014 to identify the risk profile for prenatal abuse in the population in our catchment area and determine its social impact.

## Materials and methods

We conducted a cross-sectional descriptive study of 222 cases of prenatal abuse reported to the Direcció General de Atenció a la Infancia y Adolescencia (General Directorate of Child and Adolescent Services [DGAIA]) in Catalonia between 2011 and 2014. We extracted data for the variables of interest from the electronic records of each subject held in the Childhood and Adolescence database: medical reports with clinical and laboratory data, and social services reports based on interviews with parents and other kin, as well as the outcome of social intervention. We assigned a code to each case to safeguard the confidentiality of the individuals included in the study.

We structured the variables into three categories: maternal, perinatal and social intervention.

Maternal variables: age, nationality, occupation, number of previous children, prenatal care (considered appropriate if the mother made a minimum of 5 prenatal visits; poor in case of <5 visits; and absent if no visits were made),<sup>7</sup> wanted or unwanted pregnancy, past history of voluntary termination of pregnancy, desire to terminate current pregnancy, support from partner, support from family, gender violence during pregnancy as reported by the mother), removal of previous children, previous involvement of social services, infectious diseases (the study considered HIV, HCV and syphilis), mental disorders (grouped according to the DSM-IV),<sup>8</sup> substance use during gestation (reported by the mother), maternal history of foster care under DGAIA as a minor, and maternal history of deprivation of liberty (incarceration or placement in juvenile correctional facility before, during or after the pregnancy).

Perinatal variables: type of delivery (normal/complicated); gestational age at birth (extremely preterm, <32 weeks; moderately preterm, 32<sup>0</sup> to 36<sup>6</sup> weeks; full term, 37<sup>0</sup> to 42<sup>0</sup> weeks; post term, >42<sup>0</sup> weeks); birth weight, length and head circumference; Apgar score at 1 and 5 minutes; perinatal complications during hospital stay.

Social intervention variables: professional that detected the case, measures taken at birth (placement with mother in nuclear family, placement in kinship care, emergency placement with a foster care family or foster care facility), whether the decision for the intervention was made before or after birth, and lastly, the current placement of the child (mother, kinship care, foster/adoptive family, emergency foster care family or children's home) and time when the current custody arrangement was established.

We performed the statistical analysis with the software SPSS for Windows (version 22). We have expressed categorical variables as absolute frequencies and percentages. We compared qualitative or categorical variables by means of the chi square test or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. We analysed quantitative variables with the Mann-Whitney U

**Table 1** Results for maternal variables [*n* and (%)].

<i>Maternal age</i>		
≤15 years	5	(2.25)
16–20 years	31	(13.96)
21–25 years	48	(21.62)
26–30 years	52	(23.42)
31–35 years	51	(22.97)
36–40 years	28	(12.61)
>40 years	7	(3.15)
<i>Nationality</i>		
Spanish	139	–0.63
Other	83	–0.37
<i>Employment</i>		
Unemployed	169	–0.76
Employed	53	–0.24
<i>Number of previous children</i>		
0	91	(40.99)
1	62	(27.93)
2	31	(13.96)
≥3	38	(17.12)
<i>Prenatal care</i>		
None	89	(40.09)
Inadequate	44	(19.82)
Adequate	89	(40.09)
<i>Wanted pregnancy</i>		
Yes	56	(25.23)
No	166	(74.77)
<i>History of voluntary termination of pregnancy</i>		
Yes	53	(23.87)
No	169	(76.13)
<i>Wish to terminate current pregnancy</i>		
Yes	28	(12.61)
No	194	(87.39)
<i>Support from partner</i>		
Yes	113	(50.90)
No	109	(49.10)
<i>Support from family</i>		
Yes	131	(59.01)
No	91	(40.99)
<i>Gender violence during pregnancy</i>		
Yes	45	(20.27)
No	177	(79.73)
<i>Removal of previous children</i>		
Yes	40	(30.5)
No	91	(69.5)
<i>Previous social intervention</i>		
Yes	169	(76.13)
No	53	(23.87)
<i>Infectious disease</i>		
HCV	14	(6.30)
HIV	7	(3.15)
HIV + HCV	4	(1.80)
Syphilis	3	(1.35)
Syphilis + HCV	2	(0.90)
<i>Mental disorders</i>		
Yes	158	(71.17)
No	64	(28.83)
<i>Substance use</i>		
Yes	162	–0.73
No	60	–0.27

**Table 1** (Continued)

<i>History of mother in care of DGAIA</i>		
Yes	29	(13.06)
No	193	(86.94)
<i>Deprivation of liberty</i>		
Yes 16	−0.07	−7
No	206	−0.93

DGAIA, Dirección General de Atención a la Infancia y Adolescencia; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.

test when the normality assumption was not met. We defined statistical significance as a *P*-value of less than 0.05.

The study was approved by the research ethics committee of the hospital.

## Results

### Maternal variables (Table 1)

The mean maternal age was 28.11 years, with a range of 14–47 years, with 36 cases occurring in mothers aged less than 20 years. Most of the mothers were Spanish nationals, and only 40% had obtained appropriate prenatal care. Most mothers expressed that the pregnancy was unwanted at some point of gestation. In approximately half of the cases, the pregnant mother did not have the support of the biological father or a partner. Furthermore, 1 in 5 women

reported having been physically abused by her partner. Up to 30.5% of mothers that had previous children had at least 1 child placed under the custody of the DGAIA, in the past or at the time of the study. Fourteen percent of mothers (30 cases) had an infectious disease that could be vertically transmitted: a total of 20 cases of HCV infection (9%) and 11 of HIV infection (4.95%), with HIV-HCV coinfection in 4 cases (1.8%), and 5 cases of syphilis (2.25%). In the 158 cases where the mothers had mental health disorders, the most frequent were substance use disorders (127 cases in total, with psychiatric comorbidities in 51), followed by personality disorders (41 cases), schizophrenia or psychotic disorder not otherwise specified (19 cases), anxiety and mood disorders (17 cases) and mental retardation (14 cases). Seventy-three percent of mothers reported using substances during the pregnancy, either sporadically or habitually. The most frequently used drugs were cannabis and cocaine, followed by heroin (Table 2

). Thirteen percent of mothers had a history of being in the custody of the DGAIA as minors. Sixteen mothers had a history of deprivation of freedom, in 7 during pregnancy (6 in prison and 1 in a juvenile correction facility).

### Perinatal variables (Table 3)

The most salient finding was that 26.3% of children were born preterm (22.1% moderately preterm and 4.2% extremely preterm). The mean birth weight was 2776.97 g, while 28.5% of the newborns had birth weights of less than 2500 g.

**Table 2** Substances used.

Substance	Absolute frequency (%) among the 162 users	% of substance use in the 222 mothers
Cannabis	90 (55.5)	40.5
Cocaine	87 (53.7)	39.1
Heroin	38 (23.4)	17.1
Alcohol	34 (20.9)	15.3
Methadone	30 (18.5)	13.5
Benzodiazepines	19 (11.7)	8.5
Amphetamines	15 (9.2)	6.7
Methamphetamine	2 (1.2)	0.9
<i>Most frequent combinations</i>		
1 substance	72 (44.4)	32.4
2 substances	49 (30.2)	22
3 substances	27 (16.6)	12.1
≥ 4 substances	14 (8.6)	6.3
Cannabis (only)	45 (27.7)	20.7
Cannabis and cocaine	19 (11.7)	8.5
Cocaine	19 (11.7)	8.5
Cocaine and alcohol	9 (5.5)	4
Cocaine, heroin and methadone	8 (4.9)	3.6
Alcohol	6 (3.7)	2.7
Heroin and methadone	6 (3.7)	2.7
Cannabis and alcohol	3 (1.8)	1.3
Cocaine, cannabis and alcohol	3 (1.8)	1.3
Other	44 (27.1)	19.8

**Table 3** Results of perinatal variables, overall and by maternal substance use [*n* and (%)].

	No substance use	Substance use	Total
<i>Type of delivery</i>			
Data not available	5	13	18
Normal	37 (67.3)	95 (63.7)	132 (64.7)
Complicated	18 (32.7)	54 (36.3)	72 (35.2)
Caesarean	12 (21.8)	36 (24.1)	48 (23.5)
Vacuum extraction	3 (5.4)	7 (4.7)	10 (4.9)
Spatula-assisted	2 (3.6)	6 (4)	8 (3.9)
Forceps	1 (1.8)	5 (3.3)	6 (2.9)
<i>Gestational age</i>			
Data not available	9	23	32
Post term	0	0	0
Full term	40 (78.4)	100 (71.9)	140 (73.7)
Preterm	11 (21.6)	39 (28)	50 (26.3)
Moderately	6 (11.8)	36 (25.9)	42 (22.1)
Extremely	5 (9.8)	3 (2.2)	8 (4.2)
<i>Neonatal anthropometric measurements</i>			
Data not available	6	23	29
Mean weight (g)	2995.74	2691.99	2776.98
≥2500 g	46 (85.2)	92 (66.2)	138 (71.5)
<2500 g	8 (14.8)	47 (33.8)	55 (28.5)
1500–2500 g	6 (11.1)	45 (32.4)	51 (26.5)
<1500 g	2 (3.7)	2 (1.4)	4 (2)
Mean length (cm)	47.66	46.38	46.74
Mean head circumference (cm)	33.41	32.54	32.78
<i>Apgar score</i>			
Data not available	11	29	40
1 minute ≤8	9 (18.4)	23 (17.3)	32 (17.6)
>8	40 (81.6)	110 (82.7)	150 (82.4)
5 minutes ≤8	3 (6.1)	7 (5.3)	10 (5.5)
>8	46 (93.9)	126 (94.7)	172 (94.5)

The most common perinatal risk factor was the presence of toxic substances in urine in 133 cases, detected in the urine of both mother and child, and in 14 cases only in maternal urine). Also in relation to substance use, 34 newborns received a diagnosis of NAS.

### Social intervention variables (Table 4)

Nearly half of the cases were detected by neonatologists (45.4%). In 53.4% of cases, the child was not initially placed with the mother (placement in emergency foster family, kinship care or residential facility), and 48.4% were still not in the care of the mother at the time of the analysis.

The bivariate analysis of social intervention variables (Table 5) found that nationality, prenatal care, removal of previous children, whether the pregnancy was wanted, the presence of NAS, maternal substance use and the type of substance used, maternal schizophrenia and paternal/family support were significantly associated with the measures taken at birth. Out of the total cases in which DGAIA had removed a previous child (40), the decision was made before birth in 77.5% ( $P < .001$ ).

A considerable percentage of the mothers that had been in the custody of the DGAIA as minors or who remained in its custody had a history of deprivation of freedom in prison or a correctional facility (24.1% vs 4.7%;  $P = .002$ ), and this group was also more likely to use heroin compared to the group of mothers that had never been in care (31% vs 15.5%;  $P = .041$ ).

When we compared children's placements based on maternal use of the most common substances (Table 5), we found that removal from the family home was most common in cases where the mother used heroin (59%;  $P < .001$ ) or alcohol (50%;  $P = .042$ ) and in newborns with NAS (47%;  $P = .001$ ).

In the subset of mothers with mental health disorders, only 10% of children born to mothers with schizophrenia or a psychotic disorder not otherwise specified remained with the mother after birth, while 79% were placed with emergency foster families or in a residential home (Table 5;  $P = .001$ ). We found that family support was lower in this group of mothers compared to the rest (38.9% vs 63.2%), and that as many as 94.7% had been subject to some type of social intervention in the past (vs 74.4% of other mothers).

**Table 4** Results for social intervention variables [*n* and (%)].

<b>Detection of case</b>	
Neonatologist	101 (45.4)
Obstetrician	57 (25.6)
Removal of previous children	22 (10)
Social rehabilitation services	17 (7.6)
DGAIÀ due to long past history	11 (4.9)
Psychiatrist/SUTRC	6 (2.7)
Family/friends	4 (1.8)
Prison	2 (0.9)
Women's services	1 (0.45)
Police (Mossos d'esquadra)	1 (0.45)
<b>Initial placement</b>	
Not documented	1
Mother	103 (46.6)
Emergency foster family	51 (23.1)
Kinship care	35 (15.8)
CHES/REF	32 (14.5)
<b>Decision on initial placement made before birth</b>	
Yes	70 (31.5)
No	152 (68.5)
<b>Current placement</b>	
Not documented	1
Mother	114 (51.6)
Foster/adoptive family	63 (28.5)
Kinship care	38 (17.2)
CHES/REF	2 (0.9)
Emergency foster family	2 (0.9)
Runaway	2 (0.9)
<b>Age at which placement changed</b>	
Data not available	3
No changes	122 (55.7)
Change	97 (44.3)
Before 12 months	50 (51.54)
Between 12 and 24 months	34 (35.05)
More than 24 months	13 (13.40)

CHES, Children's home and emergency; DGAIÀ, Direcció General de Atenció a la Infància y Adolescència; REF, residential educational facility; SUTRC, Substance Use Treatment and Recovery Centre.

When we compared current placement with the initial placement (Table 6), we found that 89.3% of the children ( $P < .001$ ) that had been placed with the mother at birth remained with the mother. Of those who were initially placed in a children's home, most were placed in non-kinship families at the time of the study (foster or adoptive family). All children that were initially placed in kinship care were still placed with extended family or had reunified with the mother. Lastly, of those placed in emergency foster families during investigation, only 9.8% ( $P < .001$ ) were in the care of the mother at the time of the study, while most of the rest remained in non-kinship foster families. In total, 51.6% of children (114) are currently with their mothers.

The analysis of the association of substance exposure with neonatal anthropometric measures (Table 7) showed that the children of mothers who used substances had a lower birth weight, length and head circumference compared to the children of mothers that did not use, while there was no significant difference in the mean gestational age between these two groups. When it came to gestational age (Table 8), preterm birth was more frequent in mothers that used substances (28% vs 21.6%), a difference that mainly involved the number of children born moderately preterm, while mothers who did not use substances gave birth to more extremely preterm and full term newborns. All of these findings were statistically significant ( $P = .012$ ).

## Discussion

The results of our study were consistent with the risk factors for prenatal abuse described in the literature.<sup>1,2</sup>

The mean age of the mothers (28.11 years) was low compared to the mean age of mothers in Catalonia in 2014 (31.7 years),<sup>9</sup> with a considerable difference in the proportion aged less than 20 years (16.2% in our study compared to 2.45% in Catalonia in 2014).<sup>9</sup> Young maternal age is a risk factor for foetal abuse, which may be due to a lack of awareness about health, greater substance use, unwanted/unplanned pregnancy or lower economic resources.<sup>10,11</sup>

The employment rate in Catalonia in women aged 16–64 years between 2011 and 2014 ranged from 54.7% to 59.7%,<sup>9</sup> while only 24% of the women in our study were employed.

Although there is no consensus in the literature as to what constitutes appropriate prenatal care, for the purpose of this study we used the pregnancy care protocol of the Government of Catalonia as reference.<sup>7</sup> A study conducted in Barcelona in 2013 found that 98.6% of pregnant women had at least 6 obstetrics appointments.<sup>12</sup> The absence of care is in itself an indicator of risk and a reason to report prenatal abuse. In our study, this factor was strongly associated with the decision made at birth: babies were twice as likely to stay with the mother if the prenatal care had been adequate.

Gender violence against the pregnant mothers was 10 times as frequent as the violence experienced in the past 12 months reported by women residing in Spain in a large-scale survey conducted between September and November 2014<sup>13</sup> (20% vs 1.9%), and is considered prenatal abuse and neglect in and of itself based on Law 14/2010 of May 27.<sup>3</sup>

In Spain, the prevalence in of HIV infection in mothers of live newborns is estimated at 1.41‰ based on a study conducted in 2008,<sup>14</sup> while the prevalence in our group was as high as 49.55‰. There was also a significantly higher prevalence of HCV infection (9%) compared to pregnant women in the general population (of around 1% based on the reviewed sources).<sup>15,16</sup> The rate of HIV-HCV coinfection in our study was 36.36%, compared to an estimated 20–25% in the general population.<sup>17,18</sup> This could be related to the high prevalence of substance use in our study.

**Table 5** Placement at birth (%) by different variables under study (bivariate analysis).

	Mother	Kinship care	Emergency foster family	Residential facility
<i>Maternal nationality (P = .007)</i>				
Spanish	52.5	18.7	21.6	7.2
Other	42.2	8.4	31.3	18.1
<i>Prenatal care (P &lt; .001)</i>				
Yes	64	21.3	11.2	3.4
No	38.2	6.7	38.2	16.9
Inadequate	38.6	18.2	27.3	15.9
<i>Removal of previous children (P &lt; .001)</i>				
Yes	9.5	19	61.9	9.5
No	57.8	13.9	16.7	11.7
<i>Placement decision made before birth (P &lt; .001)</i>				
Yes	17.1	17.1	51.4	14.3
No	63.2	13.8	13.2	9.9
<i>Wanted pregnancy (P &lt; .001)</i>				
Yes	76.8	17.9	3.6	1.8
No	39.2	13.9	32.5	14.5
<i>NAS at birth (P = .001)</i>				
Yes	20.6	32.4	38.2	8.8
No	53.7	11.7	22.9	11.7
<i>Maternal substance use</i>				
<i>Cannabis (P = .038)</i>	58.9	13.3	22.2	5.6
<i>Cocaine (P = .063)</i>	47.1	20.7	26.4	5.7
<i>Heroin (P &lt; .001)</i>	<12.8	28.2	46.2	12.8
<i>Alcohol (P = .042)</i>	35.3	14.7	44.1	5.9
<i>Psychotic disorder (P = .001)</i>				
Yes	10.5	10.5	57.9	21.1
No	52.2	15.3	22.2	10.3
<i>Support of father (P &lt; .001)</i>				
Yes	65.5	14.2	8.8	11.5
No	31.2	15.6	42.2	11
<i>Support of family (P &lt; .001)</i>				
Yes	66.2	24.6	4.6	4.6
No	23.1	1.1	54.9	20.9
<i>Maternal history of being in care of DGAIA (P = .419)</i>				
Yes	34.5	17.2	34.5	13.8
No	50.8	14.5	23.8	10.9
<i>Maternal history of deprivation of liberty (P = .1)</i>				
Yes	18.8	25	37.5	18.8
No	51	14.1	24.3	10.7

P values given for the Pearson chi square test.

NAS, neonatal abstinence syndrome.

The prevalence of substance use in pregnant women is typically much lower than that in the general population. Although the published data are scarce, a study conducted in the United States found that 5.4% of pregnant women used illicit drugs, compared to 11.4% of women in the same age group who were not pregnant.<sup>19</sup> The proportion in our group was much higher. In Spain, the EDADES study of 2013 found that in the general population, the prevalence of cannabis, cocaine and heroin use was 9.2%, 2.2% and 0.1%, respectively, figures that were considerably lower than those found in our study.<sup>11</sup>

The effects of these drugs on the foetus, in terms of preterm birth and alterations in foetal growth and develop-

ment, are well known and were observed in our study. The percentage of preterm birth was considerably higher than the percentage reported for Catalonia in 2014 (6.3%).<sup>9</sup> This difference was greater in the subset of mothers that used substances and manifested as an increase in the rate of moderately preterm birth. Also consistent with the above, the percentage of low birth weight of less than 2500 g was also greater compared to infants born in the same year (7.4%)<sup>9</sup>: the children of substance-using mothers had a lower birth weight, length and head circumference than the children of non-using mothers.

Heroin was the drug associated with the greatest number of foster care placements, probably due to the problems

**Table 6** Comparison of initial placement and current placement [*n* and (%)].

	Initial placement	Current placement			
		Mother	Kinship care	Non-kinship care	Other (REF/CHES, emergency foster family, runaway)
Mother	103	92	3	6	2
% initial	46.6	89.3	2.9	5.8	1.9
REF/CHES	32	5	4	21	2
% initial	14.5	15.6	12.5	65.6	6.3
Kinship care	35	12	23	0	0
% initial	15.8	34.3	65.7	0	0
Emergency foster family	51	6	8	36	2
% initial	23.1	9.8	15.7	70.6	3.9
Total	221	114	38	63	6
% current		51.6	17.2	28.5	2.7

*P* = .000 in Fisher exact test.

CHES, Children's home and emergency; REF, residential educational facility; non-kinship care: foster or adoptive family.

**Table 7** Anthropometric values of newborns whose mothers used substances versus newborns whose mothers did not.

	Mean gestational age in weeks	Mean weight in g	Mean length in cm	Mean head circumference in cm
No substance use	37.87 ( <i>n</i> 50)	2995.74 ( <i>n</i> 54)	47.66 ( <i>n</i> 48)	33.41 ( <i>n</i> 47)
Substance use	37.67 ( <i>n</i> 139)	2691.99 ( <i>n</i> 139)	46.38 ( <i>n</i> 124)	32.54 ( <i>n</i> 123)
<i>P</i> <sup>a</sup>	0.177	0.001	0.018	0.001

<sup>a</sup> *P* values given for the Mann-Whitney *U* test for comparing means between groups.

**Table 8** Gestational age by maternal substance use [*n* (%)].

	No substance use	Substance use	Total
Extremely preterm (<32 wk)	5 (9.8)	3 (2.1)	8 (4.2)
Moderately preterm (32 <sup>0</sup> –36 <sup>6</sup> wk)	6 (11.8)	36 (25.9)	42 (22.1)
Total preterm (<37 <sup>0</sup> wk)	11 (21.6)	39 (28)	50 (26.3)
Full term (37 <sup>0</sup> –42 <sup>0</sup> wk)	40 (78.4)	100 (71.9)	140 (73.7)
Total	51	139	190

*P* = .012 (Pearson chi square test).

associated with heroin addiction: social and family problems, marginalisation, and lack of economic resources, among others.

The age of the minor at the time the last placement decision is made has a significant impact on former: greater age is directly correlated to social and neurobehavioural problems and hinders bonding with the family.<sup>20</sup> In our series, nearly in half the cases where there were changes in the placement of the minor, these occurred after age 12 months.

Since a high percentage of mothers did not seek any form of prenatal care, it was neonatologists that detected most of the cases. Thus, we need to emphasise the impor-

tance of the efforts of other professionals that interact with pregnant women in detecting prenatal abuse, especially in the field of social services, as a high percentage of the pregnant women in our study had a history of social intervention.

While current law recognises the rights of the foetus and calls for the detection of prenatal abuse, in cases involving maternal substance abuse its detection may be hindered by the need to obtain consent to collect biological samples for testing, a method that is considerably more reliable than the use of questionnaires.<sup>21,22</sup> The current protocol for prenatal care does not include performance of laboratory tests



aimed at detecting maternal substance use, and only stipulates that clinicians should ask about it, so a high level of suspicion is required for its detection.

One of the limitations of this study is that we extracted the data from the reports included in the DGAIA database, some of which were based on interviews with mothers and other relatives (social services reports). Thus, the responses may be biased due to subjective perception or concealment of information on the part of interviewees. Another limitation is the large number of interrelated variables, which hinders their analysis and interpretation, as each case is highly complex; this requires an individualised and multi-disciplinary approach.

In conclusion, based on the results of this study, the profile of mothers that engage in prenatal abuse in our catchment area is that of a woman aged approximately 28 years, of Spanish nationality, unemployed, who does not adhere to adequate prenatal care, does not want the pregnancy, in many cases reports being abused by her partner, with a high incidence of HIV/HCV, uses substances (cannabis, cocaine, heroin) and a personal history of social intervention. There was a significantly high proportion of history of deprivation of liberty (prison or juvenile detention centre) and substance use (heroin) among mothers who had themselves been in the custody of the DGAIA.

We ought to highlight that prenatal abuse has a considerable impact on Spanish society and that there is still little awareness of it. Its detection is essential, and its prevention even more so, as once abuse occurs, the foetus may suffer consequences in the short as well as the long term. Adequate knowledge of risk indicators alerting of potential harmful situations is essential for the purpose of activating the established inter-institutional networks and implement the intervention that is most suitable to each case.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

1. Generalitat de Catalunya Departament de Benestar Social i Família. Actualizació del Protocol de coordinació d'actuacions per a la prevenció y l'abordament del maltractament prenatal. Colecció eines 26 [Internet]. Edició 2016. Available from: [http://treballiaferssocials.gencat.cat/web/.content/01departament/08publicacions/coleccions/eines/eines\\_26/Eines-26.pdf](http://treballiaferssocials.gencat.cat/web/.content/01departament/08publicacions/coleccions/eines/eines_26/Eines-26.pdf) [accessed 8.9.16].
2. Direcció general de Atenció a la Infància y la Adolescència. Guia Bàsica [Internet]. Available from: [http://treballiaferssocials.gencat.cat/web/.content/03ambits\\_tematicos/07infanciaiadolescencia/recursos\\_professionals/pdf/dgaia\\_guia\\_es\\_low.pdf](http://treballiaferssocials.gencat.cat/web/.content/03ambits_tematicos/07infanciaiadolescencia/recursos_professionals/pdf/dgaia_guia_es_low.pdf) [accessed 8.9.16].
3. Generalitat de Catalunya. Llei 14/2010, del 27 de maig, dels drets i les oportunitats en la infància i l'adolescència [Internet]. Publicada en el Butlletí Oficial del Parlament de Catalunya, núm. 720/VIII, del 26 de maig de 2010. Available from: <http://www.parlament.cat/document/bopc/51555.pdf> [accessed 8.9.16].
4. Fajardo-Ochoa F, Olivás-Peñuñuri MR. Abuso fetal por consumo materno de drogas durante el embarazo. *Bol Clin Hosp Infant Edo Son.* 2010;27:9–15.
5. Viteri OA, Soto EE, Bahado-Singh RO, Christensen CW, Chauhan SP, Sibai BM. Fetal anomalies and long-term effects associated with substance abuse in pregnancy: a literature review. *Am J Perinatol.* 2015;32:405–16.
6. Behnke M, Smith VC. Committee on substance abuse, committee on fetus and newborn prenatal substance abuse: short- and long-term effects on the exposed fetus. *Pediatrics.* 2013;131:e1009–24.
7. Generalitat de Catalunya. Departament de Salut Protocol de seguiment de l'embaràs en Catalunya [Internet]. 2nd ed; 2005. Available from: [http://salutweb.gencat.cat/web/.content/home/ambits\\_tematicos/linies\\_dactuacio/model\\_assistencial\\_ordenacio\\_cartera\\_i\\_serveis\\_sanitaris/pla\\_estrategic\\_dordenacio\\_maternoinfantil\\_i\\_atencio\\_salut\\_sexual\\_i\\_reproductiva/material\\_de\\_suport/documents/protseguir2006.pdf](http://salutweb.gencat.cat/web/.content/home/ambits_tematicos/linies_dactuacio/model_assistencial_ordenacio_cartera_i_serveis_sanitaris/pla_estrategic_dordenacio_maternoinfantil_i_atencio_salut_sexual_i_reproductiva/material_de_suport/documents/protseguir2006.pdf) [accessed 3.10.16].
8. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
9. Generalitat de Catalunya Institut d'Estadística de Catalunya (IDESCAT) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.idescat.cat/es/> [accessed 10.10.16].
10. Ramos Gutiérrez RY, Barriga Marín JA, Pérez Molina J. Embarazo en adolescentes como factor de riesgo para maltrato fetal. *Ginecol Obstet Mex.* 2009;77:311–6.
11. Ministerio de Sanidad Servicios Sociales e Igualdad. Encuesta Sobre Alcohol y Drogas en España (EDADES) [Internet]; 2013. Available from: <http://www.pnsd.msssi.gob.es/profesionales/sistemasInformacion/sistemaInformacion/pdf/2015-Informe-EDADES.pdf> [accessed 21.10.16].
12. Pérez G, Limón P, Cunillé M, Rodríguez-Sanz M, Miranda M. La salut reproductiva a la ciutat de Barcelona. Any 2013 [Internet]. Agència de Salut Pública de Barcelona; 2014. Available from: [http://www.aspb.cat/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/Informe\\_Natalitat\\_2014.pdf](http://www.aspb.cat/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/Informe_Natalitat_2014.pdf) [accessed 23.10.16].
13. Ministerio de Sanidad Servicios Sociales e Igualdad. Macroencuesta de violencia de género contra la mujer 2015 [Internet]. Available from: [http://www.violenciagenero.msssi.gob.es/violenciaEncifras/estudios/colecciones/pdf/Libro\\_22\\_Macroencuesta2015.pdf](http://www.violenciagenero.msssi.gob.es/violenciaEncifras/estudios/colecciones/pdf/Libro_22_Macroencuesta2015.pdf) [accessed 23.10.16].
14. Seisdedos T, Díez M, Díaz A, Muñoz L, García A. Grupo de trabajo del Estudio de Recién Nacidos Evolución de la seroprevalencia de infección por el virus de la inmunodeficiencia humana en madres de recién nacidos vivos en 8 comunidades autónomas (1996–2005). *Med Clin (Barc).* 2008;131:250–2.
15. Muñoz-Gámez JA, Salmerón J. Prevalencia de la hepatitis B y C en España: se necesitan más datos. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig.* 2013;105:245–8.
16. Solà R, Cruz de Castro E, Hombrados M, Planas R, Coll S, Jardí R, et al. Prevalence of hepatitis B and hepatitis C viruses in different counties of Catalonia, Spain: cross-sectional study. *Med Clin (Barc).* 2002;119:90–5.
17. Ministerio de Sanidad, Política Social e Igualdad. Secretaría del Plan Nacional sobre el Sida. Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación. Centro Nacional de Epidemiología. Prevalencia de anticuerpos anti-VHC en madres de recién nacidos vivos infectadas con VIH, y de coinfección VIH-VHC en madres de recién nacidos vivos. Estudio anónimo y no relacionado, 2005–2010 [Internet]. Available from: [http://www.msssi.gob.es/ciudadanos/enfLesiones/enfTransmisibles/sida/vigilancia/InformeRNVIH\\_VHC2005\\_2010.pdf](http://www.msssi.gob.es/ciudadanos/enfLesiones/enfTransmisibles/sida/vigilancia/InformeRNVIH_VHC2005_2010.pdf) [accessed 3.11.16].
18. Soriano V, Vispo E, Labarga P, Medrano J, Barreiro P. Viral hepatitis and HIV co-infection. *Antiviral Res.* 2010;85:303–15.
19. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, NSDUH Series H-48, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 14-4863 Results from the 2013 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings [Internet]. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Ser-

- vices Administration; 2014. Available from: <http://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUHresultsPDFWHTML2013/Web/NSDUHresults2013.pdf> [accessed 6.11.16].
20. Julian MM. Age at adoption from institutional care as a window into the lasting effects of early experiences. *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev*. 2013;16:101–45.
  21. García-Algar O, Vall Combelles O, Puig Sola C, Mur Sierra A, Scaravelli G, Pacifici R, et al. Exposición prenatal a drogas de abuso a través del análisis de meconio en una población de bajo nivel socioeconómico en Barcelona. *An Pediatr (Barc)*. 2009;70:151–8.
  22. Ortigosa Gómez S, López-Vilchez MA, Díaz Ledo F, Castejón Ponce E, Caballero Rabasco A, Carreras Collado R, et al. Consumo de drogas durante la gestación y su repercusión. Análisis de los períodos 1982-1988 y 2002-2008. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2011;136:423–30.