



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Thrombin, protein C, and protein S values in mother-infant dyads in the postpartum period



Maria Garrido-Barbero^a, Juan Arnaez^{a,b,c,*}, Ana Martín-Ancel^d,
Hermenegildo González^e, Alfredo García-Alix^{b,c}

^a Unidad de Neonatología, Complejo Asistencial Universitario de Burgos, Burgos, Spain

^b Fundación NeNe, Madrid, Spain

^c Profesor Sociedad Iberoamericana de Neonatología, Nueva Jersey, EE.UU

^d Unidad de Neonatología, Centro de Medicina Maternofetal y Neonatal de Barcelona (BCNatal), Hospital Sant Joan de Déu y Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^e Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital Clínico Universitario, Valladolid, Spain

Received 19 August 2022; accepted 17 December 2022

Available online 17 April 2023

KEYWORDS

Antithrombin;
Protein C;
Protein S;
Infant;
Puerperium

Abstract

Introduction: The objective of the study was to establish the normal range for the levels of antithrombin (AT), protein C (PC), and protein S (PS) in the first week post birth in mother–infant pairings, adjusting for obstetric and perinatal factors, based on 2 different laboratory methods. **Methods:** Determinations were carried out in 83 healthy term neonates and their mothers, establishing 3 postpartum age groups: 1–2 days, 3 days, and 4–7 days.

Results: There were no differences in the levels of any of the proteins between the different age groups in neonates or mothers in the first week post birth. The adjusted analysis found no association with obstetric or perinatal factors. The AT and PC levels were higher in mothers compared to infants ($P < .001$), while the PS levels were similar in both. Overall, the correlation of maternal and infant protein values was poor, except for the levels of free PS in the first 2 days after delivery. Although we found no differences based on which of the 2 laboratory methods was applied, the absolute values did differ.

© 2023 Asociación Española de Pediatría. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: juan.arnaez@neurologianeonatal.org (J. Arnaez).

PALABRAS CLAVE

Antitrombina;
 Proteína C;
 Proteína S;
 Neonato;
 Puerperio

Valores de antitrombina, proteína C y proteína S en parejas madre-recién nacido durante el postparto

Resumen

Introducción: El objetivo fue establecer valores de normalidad de antitrombina (AT), la proteína C (PC) y la proteína S (PS) dentro de la primera semana después del nacimiento en el binomio madre-recién nacido, ajustados por factores obstétricos y perinatales, según dos métodos de laboratorio diferentes.

Métodos: Se realizaron determinaciones en 83 neonatos a término sanos y sus madres, con tres grupos de edad posparto: días 1–2, 3 y 4–7.

Resultados: No hubo diferencias para ninguna de las proteínas en los distintos grupos de edad de los neonatos y las madres dentro de la primera semana posparto. El análisis ajustado no mostró ninguna asociación con factores obstétricos o perinatales. Los valores de AT y PC en las madres fueron mayores que en los neonatos ($P < 0,001$), mientras que la PS mostró valores similares. La correlación global de los valores entre los pares madre-recién nacido fue escasa, salvo para la PS libre en los en los siguientes dos días al parto. Aunque no se encontraron diferencias entre los dos métodos de laboratorio, los valores absolutos fueron diferentes.

© 2023 Asociación Española de Pediatría. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

The diagnosis of a clotting disorder during the puerperium poses a challenge in clinical practice, as significant haemostatic changes occur in both mother and newborn during this period.^{1–3} However, very few studies have sought to establish normal haemostatic values in mothers and infants during the perinatal period.^{1,4,5} In addition, it is not known whether obstetric and perinatal characteristics have an impact on measured values or whether there is an association between anticoagulant protein changes in mothers and in infants in the postpartum period.

Moreover, it is recommended that each laboratory define age-dependent coagulation reference ranges based on their testing equipment and technique, as measured values are highly dependent on the applied method.^{6,7}

The aim of our study was to establish normal values for antithrombin (AT), protein C (PC), and protein S (PS) in the first week post birth in mother–infant pairings adjusted for obstetric and perinatal factors using 2 different laboratory methods.

Materials and methods

Healthy term neonate and mother pairings with no family history of coagulation disorders were recruited in the maternity wards of 2 tertiary care hospitals. Vitamin K was administered to the infants in adherence to the standard of care. The study was approved by the research ethics committees of the participating hospitals in the framework of a broader project that included research into the role of thrombophilia risk factors in the aetiology and pathogenesis of perinatal stroke (PI08/1366). We obtained informed consent from the parents of the neonates and the mothers themselves.

The blood samples were obtained at the time of sample collection for routine newborn metabolic screening. Sam-

ples of 1 mL were added to 3% buffered sodium citrate, at a ratio of 1 part citrate to 9 parts blood. Plasma was obtained by centrifugation at 3500 rpm at 4°C for 10 min. Values of AT, PC, and PS were measured with 2 different techniques and reagents, and expressed as percentages (Supplementary Table S1).

Statistical analysis

We summarised qualitative data as absolute and relative frequencies, and quantitative data with the median and interquartile range (IQR) or the mean and standard deviation (SD) depending on their distribution. We compared normally distributed continuous variables with the Student *t* test or analysis of variance (ANOVA), and non-normally distributed quantitative variables with the Mann–Whitney *U* test or the Kruskal–Wallis test as applicable. Categorical (dichotomous) variables were compared using the χ^2 test or Fisher exact test.

We conducted multivariate analyses to assess the association of perinatal acidosis, gestational age, infant sex, and small for gestational age with the coagulation protein values of the neonate and the type of delivery, age and maternal body mass index. We calculated the Spearman correlation coefficient (r_s) to establish the strength and direction of the association between mother and infant protein values.

All reported *P* values are 2-sided, and we considered *P* values of less than 0.05 statistically significant. The statistical analysis was carried out with the software SPSS, version 25 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and Stata version 15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

88 healthy neonates born during the study period and their mothers were invited to participate. Four families rejected

Table 1 Reference values of antithrombin, protein C, and protein S (%) for neonates and their mothers during the postpartum period.

	Hospital A (N=52) ^a				Hospital B (N=31) ^b		
	Days 1–2	Day 3	Days 4–7	Total (Days 1–3)	Days 1–2	Day 3	Total (Days 1–3)
INFANTS							
Antithrombin, n	23	14	13	37	23	6	29
Mean	62.3	60.9	61.6	61.7	60.3	66.3	60.3
Median	60.4	61.3	59.3	61.1	60	66.5	60
95% CI	44–109	53–76	48–78	44–109	47–72	42–75	42–75
Protein C, n	23	14	13	37	23	6	29
Mean	41.1	41.4	35.7	41.2	34.7	38.2	35.4
Median	37	36.7	35.7	36.9	33	41	33
95% CI	28–125	24–68	28–44	24–125	14–54	13–54	13–54
Free protein S, n	23	14	13	37	NA	NA	NA
Mean	42.4	39.6	33.7	41.4			
Median	35.9	38.1	31.5	35.9			
95% CI	17–129	25–61	6.0–61	17–129			
Total protein S, n	NA	NA	NA	NA	21	6	27
Mean					48	54.7	50.5
Median					49.3	57.7	50
95% CI					35–71	43–63	35–71
Mothers							
Antithrombin, n	22	15	15	37	24	6	30
Mean	100.7	99.8	106.4	100.4	102.3	108.8	103.6
Median	99.4	98.1	104	98.6	102	109	104
95% CI	67–144	87–117	78–143	67–144	81–134	90–123	81–134
Protein C, n	22	15	15	37	24	6	30
Mean	116.2	120.5	117.0	117.9	126.0	135.2	127.9
Median	114.7	119.1	119.1	115.9	128	134	128.5
95% CI	74–152	95–149	72–143	74–152	90–166	105–176	90–176
Free protein S, n	22	15	15	37	NA	NA	NA
Mean	42.6	44.8	48.2	43.5			
Median	39.5	46	56.8	42.3			
95% CI	19–78	23–66	22–86	19–78			
Total protein S, n	NA	NA	NA	NA	24	6	30
Mean					52.1	59.5	53.6
Median					54	51.5	54
95% CI					29–77	39–93	29–93

CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range; NA: not applicable. Mean, median and boundaries including 95% of the population are shown for each assay. n denotes the number of individual samples for each group.

^a There were only two infants one day old in Hospital A.

^b There were only two infants one day old in Hospital B. There was also only one patient of 4–7 days of life (not included in the table).

participation, and we recruited another 84 mother–infant pairings as controls. One family was excluded due to hyperhomocysteinaemia. The main characteristics of the mother–infant pairings are summarised in Supplementary Table S2.

Table 1 presents the values of the 3 anticoagulant proteins measured in each of the hospitals with their respective laboratory methods. We did not find differences between the protein values of infants and mothers in the first week post birth based on age group in the raw analysis or adjusting for confounding variables in the mother or infant. We did not find differences between the 2 laboratory methods.

The AT and PC values were higher in mothers compared to infants in every age groups ($P < .001$). The total protein S values were similar in mothers and infants, and we only found a tendency toward higher values in mothers compared to infants in the free PS on days 4–7 post birth ($P = .06$) (Fig. 1, Table 1).

The correlation analysis showed a poor association between maternal and neonatal values of AT, PC, and PS, with an r_s of 0.157 ($P = .16$), 0.268 ($P = .016$) and 0.374 ($P = .001$), respectively. The strongest association between mother and infant values was found in free protein S values in the 1-to-2 days post birth group, with a moderate correlation (r_s , 0.626; $P = .001$) (Supplementary Table S3).




Figure 1 Comparison of maternal and neonatal values of antithrombin, protein C, and protein S in mother–infant pairings. Legend: Median and interquartile range of maternal (light grey) and neonatal (dark grey) protein values (%). Antithrombin (hospital A + B) and protein C (hospital A + B) values were higher in mothers compared to infants in all age groups ($P < .001$), while free protein S (hospital A) and total protein S (hospital B) values were similar in mothers and infants.

Discussion

Haemostatic balance is an evolving process, as the haemostatic system changes and matures continuously from foetal to adult life.¹ Although 3 previous studies produced values measured in the first week of life, they made no formal comparisons between different ages and did not analyse the influence of maternal or infant characteristics.^{1,4,5} We believe that our results are relevant, especially for haematologists, paediatricians and obstetricians, as questions come up in clinical practice concerning whether values of these proteins change after delivery in the mother or infant and whether abnormal values in the either patient

could be indicative of disorders that should be ruled out or monitored in the other.

Previous studies have suggested an association between neonatal levels of these proteins and perinatal acidosis⁸ or small for gestational age.⁹ However, we did not find any associations between the measured values and perinatal factors, including the sex of the infant.

Throughout our study we confirmed maternal levels of AT and PC were higher than neonatal levels in the first days post birth. Meanwhile, the levels of PS remained similar, probably due to a marked decrease in maternal levels after delivery compared to during pregnancy.^{2,3} On the other hand, although anticoagulant proteins do not cross the placen-

tal barrier,¹⁰ we explored whether there was a relationship between maternal values and those of their offspring. It has been hypothesized that there could be common molecular signals or signalling pathways in response to a stressful situation, such as the peripartum, that could modify or influence both environments (maternal and neonatal) in parallel.⁵ This may explain the moderate correlation that we found between mother and infant free PS values in the first 2 days post birth, but not afterwards, when changes in the infant can be explained by the maturation of the haemostatic system that starts once the foetus is separated from the placenta. However, we found a poor correlation between mother–infant values for the remaining proteins. It would be interesting to explore how the correlation may be affected in the presence of diseases associated with marked changes in these proteins in the mother.

In pregnant women, it has been well established that the risk for thromboembolism rises shortly after birth, largely because the haemostatic balance moves toward hypercoagulability during pregnancy.¹¹ In fact, the haemostasis of pregnancy and the puerperium has been found to differ from that of nonpregnant women.^{2,3} We did not compare postpartum anticoagulant protein values with values outside the puerperium period; nevertheless, we found no changes in the values measured in the first week following delivery, nor in the association of these values with obstetric factors such as type of delivery, age, and body mass index. We found only one study that measured these proteins at days 1 and 2 post birth, but it did not formally compare the values.²

Previous studies have demonstrated that reference ranges for coagulation assays are analyser- and reagent-dependent.⁶ Although we found no differences between the 2 laboratory methods, the absolute values differed between the 2 hospitals and compared to other published studies,^{3,5} corroborating the described variability associated with different analysers and reagents. It is worth noting that a poor understanding of these differences in absolute values can lead to diagnosing disorders that patients do not really have and to therapeutic interventions that are not actually indicated.⁶ In addition, the diagnosis of AT, PC, and PS deficiencies during the neonatal period may be misleading, as only very low or undetectable levels in this age group support the diagnosis of a heterozygous deficiency.^{1,4,12}

The main limitation of our study is that we did not reach the recommended 30 samples for each protein for most of the age groups required to achieve accurate normal reference values.¹³

In conclusion, according to our study, values remain stable for the first week post birth in both mothers and their infants; and specific laboratory ranges for age should be used to avoid overdiagnosis and missed diagnosis.

Funding

This study was funded by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI08/1366) and the European Regional Development Fund.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Author contributions

Maria Garrido collected the data, performed the literature search and wrote the manuscript. Juan Arnaez and Alfredo García-Alix participated in the design of the study, carried out research, and contributed to the drafting of the manuscript. Ana Martín-Ancel participated in the research and reviewed the manuscript. Hermenegildo González contributed to the interpretation of the data and reviewed the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anpede.2023.03.005>.

References

- Andrew M, Paes B, Milner R, Johnston M, Mitchell L, Tollefsen DM, et al. Development of the human coagulation system in the full-term infant. *Blood*. 1987;70:165–72.
- Szecsí PB, Jorgensen M, Klajnbard A, Andersen MR, Colov NP, Stender S. Haemostatic reference intervals in pregnancy. *Thromb Haemost*. 2010;103:718–27.
- Cerneca F, Ricci G, Simeone R, Malisano M, Alberico S, Guaschino S. Coagulation and fibrinolysis changes in normal pregnancy. Increased levels of procoagulants and reduced levels of inhibitors during pregnancy induce a hypercoagulable state, combined with a reactive fibrinolysis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 1997;73:31–6.
- Monagle P, Barnes C, Ignjatovic V, Furmedge J, Newall F, Chan A, et al. Developmental haemostasis. Impact for clinical haemostasis laboratories. *Thromb Haemost*. 2006;95:362–72.
- Attard C, van der Straaten T, Karlaftis V, Monagle P, Ignjatovic V. Developmental hemostasis: age-specific differences in the levels of hemostatic proteins. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2013;11:1850–4.
- Monagle P, Ignjatovic V, Savoia H. Hemostasis in neonates and children: pitfalls and dilemmas. *Blood Rev*. 2010;24:63–8.
- Ignjatovic V, Kenet G, Monagle P, Perinatal and Paediatric Haemostasis Subcommittee of the Scientific and Standardization Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis. Developmental hemostasis: recommendations for laboratories reporting pediatric samples. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2012;10:298–300.
- Salonvaara M, Riikonen P, Kekomaki R, Vahtera E, Mahlamäki E, Halonen P, et al. Effects of gestational age and prenatal and perinatal events on the coagulation status in premature infants. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2003;88:F319–E323.
- Mitsiakos G, Papaioannou G, Papadakis E, Chatziioannidis E, Giougi E, Karagianni P, et al. Haemostatic profile of full-term, healthy, small for gestational age neonates. *Thromb Res*. 2009;124:288–91.
- Golomb MR. The contribution of prothrombotic disorders to peri- and neonatal ischemic stroke. *Semin Thromb Hemost*. 2003;29:415–24.
- Parunov LA, Soshitova NP, Ovanesov MV, Panteleev MA, Serebriyskiy II. Epidemiology of venous thromboembolism (VTE) associated with pregnancy. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today*. 2015;105:167–84.

12. Williams MD, Chalmers EA, Gibson BE. Haemostasis, Thrombosis Task Force BCfSiH. The investigation and management of neonatal haemostasis and thrombosis. *Br J Haematol.* 2002;119:295–309.
13. CLSI and IFCC. C28-A3 document; Defining, establishing and verifying reference intervals in the clinical laboratory: Approved guideline-third edition. 2008;28:1-76.