Diagnostic efficiency of renal ultrasound after the first urine infection in infants
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**Abstract**

*Introduction:* Several authors question the performance of systematic renal ultrasound after first urinary tract infection (UTI) in young children, given the high sensitivity of prenatal ultrasounds to detect major malformations and the low prevalence of clinical relevant findings. The aims of this study are to evaluate the yield of renal ultrasound performed after the first UTI in patients aged less than 2 years and to analyse potential risk factors (RF) of altered renal ultrasound.

*Patients and methods:* Retrospective study, including patients aged less than 2 years diagnosed with UTI in the Emergency Department between July 2013 and December 2014. Patients with an underlying nephro-urological pathology, previous UTIs and those without prenatal or post-infection renal ultrasound were excluded. Altered renal ultrasound was defined as the presence of dilated urinary tract or structural abnormalities. Potential RF analysed were: male, age less than 3 months, presence of fever and microorganism other than *Escherichia coli*. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression were performed.

*Results:* A total of 306 patients were included. Altered renal ultrasound was found in 35 cases (11.4%; 95% CI 8.3–15.5): 24 (68.6%) urinary tract dilation, and 11 (31%) structural abnormalities. Among the cases with altered ultrasound, 68.6% were male, 51.4% were younger than...
Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are among the most frequent bacterial diseases in febrile infants. In incontinent patients, they are usually detected in emergency departments (EDs) due to the need to collect a sterile urine sample for diagnosis. In addition to the diagnosis and the initial course of antibiotherapy, management should include informing the family on the indications for subsequent imaging tests.

Although there are discrepancies between current guidelines and between clinical protocols at different sites regarding the indications for the various imaging tests that can be performed after a first UTI, renal ultrasound is included in most due to its broad availability and lack of harmful effects. However, since the introduction of routine prenatal ultrasound examinations, several publications have argued that the most frequent urinary tract malformations are detected before birth. With the aim of omitting performance of renal ultrasound after an initial episode of UTI in patients with a normal prenatal ultrasound, Miron et al. conducted a prospective study in 250 children aged less than 5 years and assessed the correlation between prenatal and postnatal ultrasound findings, finding a concordance of 96% (95% confidence interval [CI], 93–99). Nevertheless, the role of prenatal ultrasound is still being debated, and the ultrasound after the first UTI is included in many guidelines. Thus, the clinical practice guideline for the management of UTI...
of the American Academy of Pediatrics recommends performance of a renal ultrasound in any febrile child aged less than 2 years with a first episode of UTI. In Spain, both the UTI protocol of the Asociación Española de Pediatría (Spanish Association of Pediatrics) and the clinical practice guideline for the management of UTI in the paediatric population developed by the Ministry of Health recommend its performance after an initial febrile episode of UTI, with the latter adding the following criteria: incontinent patient without a normal prenatal or postnatal ultrasound, signs of urinary tract dysfunction, abdominal or vesical mass, elevated serum creatinine levels or UTI caused by an organism other than Escherichia coli.

The objectives of our study were to assess the diagnostic yield of renal ultrasound after an initial UTI in patients aged less than 2 years and to analyse potential risk factors for abnormal ultrasound findings.

Materials and methods

Study design

We conducted a retrospective observational and analytical study in a tertiary women’s and children’s hospital with 275 paediatric beds that is the referral hospital of a catchment area with a population of 1,800,000 inhabitants and manages an average of 105,000 emergency visits a year.

Study sample

We reviewed the health records of patients aged less than 2 years managed in the ED between July 2013 and December 2014 discharged from the department with a diagnosis of UTI (code 599.0 of the diagnostic classification of the Sociedad Española de Urgencias de Pediatría (Spanish Society of Paediatric Emergency Medicine)). Out of all these cases, we included those with microbiological confirmation of UTI (colonies of Escherichia coli isolated in urine culture, findings of renal ultrasound and indication for other imaging tests in patients with abnormal ultrasound findings). We excluded patients with underlying urinary system diseases, with a previous history of UTI or who did not undergo a prenatal or post-UTI ultrasound examination.

Variables under study

We collected data for the following variables in a form designed for the purpose: age, sex, presence of fever (temperature ≥38°C detected at home or in the ED), microorganism isolated in urine culture, findings of renal ultrasound and indication for other imaging tests in patients with abnormal ultrasound findings. For cases with a normal initial ultrasound, we also analysed the occurrence of new episodes of UTI in the year following diagnosis. To do so, we reviewed the electronic health records of the hospital and the patient’s records in the regionwide health record database of the public health system of Catalonia.

We analysed the following variables as potential risk factors for an abnormal ultrasound: male sex, age (less or more than 3 months), presence of fever and causative microorganism other than E. coli.

627 patients with UTI in emergency department

Figure 1  Flow chart of the study. DUS, disease of the urinary system; US, ultrasound; UTI, urinary tract infection.

During the period under study, all patients aged less than 2 years that received a diagnosis of first episode of UTI in the ED routinely underwent a renal ultrasound examination, whether they were admitted to hospital or managed at the outpatient level, and were referred to the outpatient nephrology department. Based on the findings of the ultrasound examination, the nephrologist determined the need for further testing, follow-up and/or treatment. In our hospital, all ultrasound scans are interpreted by paediatric radiologists.

In this study, we defined abnormal renal ultrasound as an ultrasound examination that found evidence of dilatation and/or structural anomalies in the urinary tract, and pathological renal ultrasound as an abnormal ultrasound whose findings led to a change in the management of the patient, either by initiation of antibiotic prophylaxis or referral to surgical assessment.

Statistical analysis

We stored and processed the extracted data in a Microsoft Access database. We tabulated the quantitative and categorical data, and subsequently analysed it with the statistical software SPSS version 20.0. We have described quantitative variables using the mean or median and categorical variables using percentages. We performed tests to analyse the distribution of data (Kolmogorov-Smirnov) and to compare quantitative data (Student t test, Mann–Whitney U test) and qualitative data (chi square test, contingency tables, Fisher exact test). We calculated 95% CIs for proportions using the Wilson score method. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of less than 0.05. We performed a multivariate logistic regression analysis. The potential predictor variables included in the full model were those corresponding to p-values of less than 0.3 in the univariate analysis. We used the final logistic regression model to recalculate the odds ratios and their 95% CIs.

Results

A total of 627 episodes of UTI were diagnosed during the period under study, with microbiological confirmation in 480 cases. After excluding 174 patients for meeting exclusion criteria, the final sample comprised 306 cases (Fig. 1). Table 1 describes the clinical and epidemiological characteristics of the sample.
The renal ultrasound was abnormal in 35 patients (11.4%; 95% CI, 8.3–15.5); detection of urinary tract dilatation of varying degree in 24 (68.6%) and structural anomalies in 11 (31.4%). The nephrology department decided to expand the evaluation with a voiding cystourethrogram (VCUG) in 26 patients (74.6%), which led to diagnosis of vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) in 7 (26.9%). In 6 cases (2.0%; 95% CI, 0.9–4.2), the renal ultrasound was considered pathological, as it led to prescription of antibiotic prophylaxis. These cases included 4 children with high-grade VUR (v/v) and 2 with structural anomalies (megaureter). These last 2 patients were also referred for assessment to the Department of Surgery.

In the univariate analysis (Table 2), male sex, age less than 3 months and a causative pathogen other than E. coli were predictors of abnormal ultrasound findings. In the multivariate regression analysis, the variables that remained significant were age less than 3 months, with an OR of 2.1 (1.4–3.9; \( P = 0.05 \)) and a causative pathogen other than E. coli, with an OR of 3.8 (1.7–8.7; \( P = 0.002 \)). The 6 patients with a pathological renal ultrasound presented one or both of these risk factors.

Of the 271 patients with a normal renal ultrasound, 26 (9.6%) had a new episode of UTI in the first year of follow-up. A new renal ultrasound was performed in 7 of them, and was abnormal in 1 case (mild ectasia). Fifteen of these patients were evaluated with a VCUG, which detected a low-grade VUR in 3.

### Discussion

Our study confirmed the low yield of renal ultrasound following a first UTI, as the test was only relevant in patients with risk factors. Several recent studies have found similar results concerning the efficacy of renal ultrasound in patients with UTI as a tool for screening for urinary system anomalies, with a low sensitivity, specificity and predictive value compared to other diagnostic techniques (VCUG, dimercapsosuccinic acid [DMSA] renal scan). 13,14 In 2014, Zamir et al. published a study in 255 patients with a first UTI; the renal ultrasound was abnormal in 33, and the management was not changed as a result of the findings in any of the patients. 15 The results of a study by Nelson et al. were similar, and the authors concluded that renal ultrasound is a poor tool for diagnosis of urinary system anomalies after analysing a sample of 2259 patients and finding a prevalence of clinically significant anomalies of 2%. 16 These results agree with those of our study, where the renal ultrasound after a first UTI only led to changes in management in 6 patients (2% of cases), all of them aged less than 3 months and/or with a UTI caused by a pathogen other than E. coli. The latter finding is consistent with the findings of a previous study conducted in the same hospital 17 and with the indications of the clinical practice guideline of the Spanish National Health System of 2011, 11 which specifically recommends performance of a renal ultrasound in patients with UTI caused by a microorganism other than E. coli on account of the existing evidence on the high prevalence of urinary system abnormalities in these patients. On the other hand, the higher frequency of abnormal findings in the follow-up ultrasound in younger children, which is statistically significant in infants aged less than 3 months, could be partly explained to the higher prevalence of VUR in younger children, which decreases gradually with age (with a rate of spontaneous resolution of 10% to 15% per year of growth). 18

Multiple studies have demonstrated that patients with diseases of the urinary system are at higher risk of urinary tract infection; previous calculations 19 have estimated the positive predictive value of recurrent UTI for predicting high-grade VUR at 55%, whereas children with a single episode of UTI are at lower risk of associated malformations. Based on this evidence, the latest update of the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guideline on the management of UTIs in patients aged less than 16 years 20 recommends against routine performance of ultrasound

### Table 1 Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of children with a diagnosis of urinary tract infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>N = 306</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (months)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age less than 3 months</td>
<td>104 (34.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak body temperature (°C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causative organism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>268 (87.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categorical variables expressed as absolute and relative frequencies, quantitative variables as median and interquartile range.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Risk factors for abnormal ultrasound findings in children aged less than 2 years with a first episode of urinary tract infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Renal ultrasound</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal ((n=271))</td>
<td>Abnormal ((n=35))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>122 (45.0)</td>
<td>24 (68.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt; 3 months</td>
<td>86 (31.7)</td>
<td>18 (51.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>212 (78.2)</td>
<td>26 (74.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogen other than E. coli</td>
<td>27 (10.0)</td>
<td>11 (31.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Categorical variables expressed as absolute and relative frequencies.
after a first uncomplicated UTI in children aged more than 6 months, reserving this diagnostic tool for cases of recurrent UTI, atypical UTI or patients aged less than 6 months. The low frequency of recurrence of UTI within a year of diagnosis in patients with a normal ultrasound after a first episode of UTI found in our study supports this recommendation, and suggests that it may be more useful to perform a VCUG after a second episode of UTI rather than a second ultrasound in patients without any of the 2 risk factors mentioned above.

The main limitations of our study are those intrinsic to its retrospective design. On the other hand, while the regionwide electronic health records allowed us to track the outpatient management of the patients, we had to exclude 17 patients due to the absence of records for a prenatal or post-UTI ultrasound; it is possible that these patients were managed in private facilities and that there were radiologic findings unknown to us in these cases. However, this subset of patients is very small, and therefore we consider that it did not have a relevant impact on the results. For the same reason, it is also possible that some of the patients with normal ultrasounds had subsequent episodes of UTI during the follow-up that we were unable to detect.

To conclude, the overall yield of renal ultrasound after a first episode of UTI in children aged less than 2 years is low, as its findings have little impact on the subsequent management in most cases, which suggests that the decision to perform a renal ultrasound should be made on a case-to-case basis based on the presence of risk factors: age less than 3 months or causative microorganism other than E. coli.
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