



SCIENTIFIC LETTERS

Toxic shock syndrome in a paediatric intensive care unit over the last 15 years[☆]



Síndrome de *shock* tóxico en una unidad de cuidados intensivos pediátricos en los últimos 15 años

Dear Editor:

Toxic shock syndrome (TSS) is an acute and severe disease that is rare in paediatrics (0.5/100,000 inhabitants). It is characterised by fever, exanthema, hypotension and multiple organ failure, and caused by infection by toxin-producing bacteria. Although *Staphylococcus aureus* is the pathogen most frequently involved in TSS,^{1,2} erythrogenic toxin-producing streptococci (mainly *Streptococcus pyogenes*) are currently responsible for a large number of cases. Despite its considerable morbidity and mortality, few studies have analysed the incidence, manifestations, treatment and complications of this disease in the paediatric age group.¹

With the purpose of describing the aetiology and clinical and laboratory characteristics of cases of TSS in the PICU of a tertiary-level hospital in recent years, we conducted a retrospective descriptive study between January 2001 and December 2015. We included patients that met the clinical and microbiological criteria established by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for the diagnosis of staphylococcal and streptococcal TSS. We collected epidemiological, clinical, microbiological and laboratory data pertaining to PICU admission and outcomes. We present the data as frequencies, percentages, mean (range) and median (interquartile range [IQR]).

There were 14 cases of TSS (Table 1), 50% in male patients, and the median age was 1.9 years (0.9–6.7). Thirteen patients met the diagnostic criteria for streptococcal TSS (11 cases confirmed with isolation of *S. pyogenes* from normally sterile sites, and 2 probable cases with isolation from non-sterile sites) and TSS was caused by *S. aureus* in only one patient. The portal of entry was the pharynx in 7 cases, the skin in 6 (3 due to superinfection of lesions caused by varicella) and unknown in 1. All patients developed fever,

hypotension and failure of 2 or more organs (Table 2), 8 (57.1%) had decreased level of consciousness or lethargy, and 21.4% had gastrointestinal symptoms. Exanthema developed in 64.3% of the patients (generalised erythematous rash in 6, and macular morbilliform rash in 3), with delayed desquamation in 7 patients.

Ten patients (71.4%) required vasoactive support, including administration of vasopressors in 5 patients for a mean of 6.2 days (2–12), and with a mean vasoactive-inotropic score of 42. Nine out of the 14 patients required ventilatory support, 7 with intubation (mean duration, 10 days; range, 6–25) and 2 with noninvasive mechanical ventilation (mean duration, 2.5 days). Some of the findings compatible with multiple organ failure were: thrombocytopenia and/or coagulopathy as well as abnormal liver function test results in 11 patients (78.6%); hypocalcaemia in 5 (35.7%); acute kidney injury in 10 (71.4%), 2 of whom required renal replacement therapy for a mean of 4 days. Three patients developed soft-tissue necrosis in the form of necrotising fasciitis or pyomyositis that required surgical debridement.

In 12 out of the 13 cases of streptococcal TSS, clindamycin was added to the initial treatment with cefotaxime. Four patients received immunoglobulin therapy (3 with necrotising fasciitis or pyomyositis) and 2 received steroid therapy. The patient with staphylococcal infection initially received cefotaxime, followed by cloxacillin. All patients had favourable outcomes, and none died. Close contacts and household members did not receive prophylactic antibiotic therapy in any case, and there was no documentation of any associated events.

Our study reflects the severity of the illness developed by these patients, with a large proportion requiring advanced haemodynamic and respiratory support.¹ Most patients were less than 2 years of age, which was consistent with other series.³ The most frequent portals of entry were the pharynx and the skin, and varicella infection was a significant risk factor, consistent with the literature.¹ Ninety-three percent of cases were caused by *S. pyogenes*, which diverges from previous studies conducted in Spain and recent international publications, in which *S. aureus* continues to be the most prevalent causative agent.^{1,2}

Although streptococcal TSS is associated with a high mortality of up to 30–60% compared to 5% in staphylococcal TSS,^{1,3–5} there were no deaths in our case series, probably due to the early initiation of treatment. The administration of prophylactic antibiotics to close contacts remains controversial, and is recommended in a few countries, such as Canada.⁶

[☆] Please cite this article as: Butragueño Laiseca L, García Morín M, Barredo Valderrama E, Alcaraz Romero AJ. Síndrome de *shock* tóxico en una unidad de cuidados intensivos pediátricos en los últimos 15 años. An Pediatr (Barc). 2017;87:111–113.

Table 1 Patient characteristics: age, microbial isolation, need for mechanical ventilation and inotropic treatment, length of stay in PICU, associated kidney failure and need for renal replacement therapy, antitoxin treatment and developed complications.

Case	Age (years)	Aetiologic agent	Portal of entry	Microbiological specimen collection site	IMV	Inotropic/vasopressor agents	Length of PICU stay in days	Kidney failure/renal replacement therapy	Antitoxin treatment	Complications
1	1.08	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Pharynx	Pharynx, pleura, bronchial aspirate	Yes	Yes/yes	14	Yes/yes	Clindamycin	Pneumonia with pleural effusion
2	1.6	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Skin	Abscess and soft tissue biopsy	Yes	Yes/yes	11	Yes/no	IVIg/clindamycin	Necrotising fasciitis
3	2.25	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Pharynx	Pharynx, blood culture	No	No/no	1	No/no	-	Convulsive seizures
4	19.5	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Pharynx	Pharynx, blood culture	Yes	Yes/no	15	Yes/no	Clindamycin	Pneumonia with pleural effusion
5	6.9	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Pharynx	Pharynx, pleura	No	No/no	3	Yes/no	Clindamycin	Pneumonia with pleural effusion
6	6	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Skin (chicken-pox)	Pharynx	No	No/no	1	No/no	Clindamycin	-
7	1.3	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Skin (chicken-pox)	Perianal	Yes	Yes/yes	8	No/no	Clindamycin	Necrotising fasciitis
8	0.75	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Unknown	Pleura	No	Yes/no	12	Yes/no	Clindamycin	Pneumonia with pleural effusion
9	2.3	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Pharynx	Pharynx, blood culture	Yes	Yes/yes	10	Yes/no	IVIg/Clindamycin	Pneumonia with pleural effusion
10	0.5	<i>S. aureus</i>	Skin	Skin exudate, bronchial aspirate	Yes	Yes/yes	11	Yes/no	-	Convulsive seizures
11	6.75	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Pharynx	Pharynx, blood culture	No	Yes/no	9	Yes/yes	Clindamycin	Convulsive seizures
12	1.5	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Skin (chicken-pox)	Pharynx, blood culture	No	Yes/no	8	Yes/no	Clindamycin	-
13	0.6	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Skin	Pharynx, blood culture, wound exudate	Yes	Yes/no	29	No/no	IVIg/Clindamycin	Pneumonia with pleural effusion Convulsive seizures
14	7	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	Pharynx	Pharynx, blood culture, soft-tissue abscess and osteomyelitis biopsy	No	No/no	5	Yes/no	IVIg/Clindamycin	Pyomyositis Osteomyelitis

IMV, invasive mechanical ventilation; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; PICU, paediatric intensive care unit.

Table 2 Clinical presentation and associated organ injury.

	N	Percentage
Shock	14	100
Fever	14	100
Exanthema	9	64.3
Erythroderma	6	42.8
Posterior desquamation	7	50
Neurologic dysfunction	8	57.1
Gastrointestinal symptoms	3	21.4
Thrombocytopenia/coagulopathy	11	78.6
Liver disease	11	78.6
Acute kidney injury	10	71.4
Necrotising fasciitis/pyomyositis	3	21.4

It is crucial that this condition is detected early and the patient referred to a facility with intensive care services.^{1,5} When TSS is suspected, the patient should be placed on life support, as would be done in cases of septic shock, with the addition of antitoxin treatment (antimicrobials such as clindamycin), surgical debridement, and administration of immunoglobulin to enhance plasma neutralising activity against toxins.⁶

References

- Chen KYH, Cheung M, Burgner DP, Curtis N. Toxic shock syndrome in Australian children. *Arch Dis Child*. 2016;101:736–40.
- Costa Orvay JA, Caritg Bosch J, Morillo Palomo A, Noguera Julián T, Esteban Torne E, Palomeque Rico A. Síndrome de shock tóxico: experiencia en una UCIP. *An Pediatr (Barc)*. 2007;66:566–72.
- Dosil Gallardo S, Jordan Garcia I, Morteruel Arizcuren E, Rodríguez Nuñez A. Streptococcal toxic shock syndrome: an emerging pathology? *An Pediatr (Barc)*. 2009;7:310–1 [Article in Spanish].
- Adalat S, Dawson T, Hackett SJ, Clark JE. Toxic shock syndrome surveillance in UK children. *Arch Dis Child*. 2014;99:1078–82.
- Rodríguez-Nuñez A, Dosil-Gallardo S, Jordan I, ad hoc Streptococcal Toxic Shock Syndrome collaborative group of Spanish Society of Pediatric Intensive Care. Clinical characteristics of children with group A streptococcal toxic shock syndrome admitted to pediatric intensive care units. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2011;170:639–44.
- Carapetis JR, Jacoby P, Carville K, Ang SJ, Curtis N, Andrews R. Effectiveness of clindamycin and intravenous immunoglobulin, and risk of disease in contacts, in invasive group A streptococcal infections. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59:358–65.

Laura Butragueño Laiseca^{a,*}, Marina García Morín^a, Estíbaliz Barredo Valderrama^a, Andrés J. Alcaraz Romero^b

^a Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

^b Servicio de Cuidados Intensivos Pediátricos, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: laura_bl@hotmail.com

(L. Butragueño Laiseca).

2341-2879/

© 2016 Asociación Española de Pediatría. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Clinical impact of translocation t(7;15) (p22;q26) on several family members[☆]



Repercusión clínica de la traslocación t(7;15) (p22;q26) en varios miembros de una misma familia

Dear Editor:

Global developmental delay (GDD) and intellectual disability (ID) are frequent diseases that have a considerable social impact and a varied aetiology. Cytogenetic testing by comparative genomic hybridisation (CGH) array analysis has increased the number of aetiological diagnoses in our paediatric neurology clinic by more than 20%.¹

We present the clinical cases in a family with several members with ID in whom testing detected balanced and

unbalanced chromosomal rearrangements secondary to a different chromosomal segregation process in each.

Case 1 (Fig. 1, individual III.4): boy aged 5 years, only child, with ID, oppositional behaviours, deficits in propositional language and no sphincter control. He had microcephaly from birth (head circumference, -4.21 SD), with height and weight at the 75th percentile, a dysmorphic




Figure 1 Pedigree chart of the individuals presented in this article. In black: individuals with unbalanced translocation and intellectual disability. In grey: individuals with balanced translocations (III.3 = case 2; III.4 = case 1).

[☆] Please cite this article as: Caballero Pérez V, López-Pisón FJ, Miramar Gallart MD, González Álvarez A, García Jiménez MC. Repercusión clínica de la traslocación t(7;15) (p22;q26) en varios miembros de una misma familia. *An Pediatr (Barc)*. 2017;87:113–115.